

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION.....	II
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	IV
ABSTRACT .....	VI
 <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	
2.1 COMPARISON OF EDUCATION GOALS: BRT 1989; GOALS 2000; AND BRT 1995.....	46
6.1 COMPARISON OF CBR AND BRT REFORM AGENDA.....	181
6.2 COMPARISON OF GOALS 2000 WITH CA EDUCATION SUMMIT GOALS.....	184
6.3 HISTORY OF CALIFORNIA HIGH-STAKES LEGISLATION.....	190
 <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	
A.1 INFLUENCES ON SCHOOL BOARD DECISIONS.....	14
4.1 TEXAS EDUCATION REFORM .....	98A
5.1 WHITE STUDENTS IN HOUSTON HIGH SCHOOLS.....	154
5.2 CHARLOTTE HIGH SCHOOL WHITE POPULATION.....	155
5.3 WHITE STUDENTS IN PITTSBURGH HIGH SCHOOLS AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL WHITE AND BLACK POPULATIONS.....	156
5.4 WHITE STUDENTS IN BOSTON HIGH SCHOOLS AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL WHITE AND BLACK STUDENT POPULATION.....	157
8.1 CHANGES IN THE MAKE-UP OF MISSION HIGH SCHOOL FROM 1989 TO 1998.....	273
8.2 COMPARISON OF THE CHANGING ETHNIC DISTRIBUTION IN MISSION HIGH SCHOOL WITH THAT OF THE SFUSD IN GENERAL.....	273
 <b>CHAPTERS</b>	
<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
THE ARGUMENT .....	2
A NOTE ABOUT THE STRUCTURE OF THE ARGUMENT.....	11
A NOTE ON METHOD .....	12
<i>Sociology</i> .....	13
<i>History</i> .....	17
<i>Philosophy</i> .....	18
 <b>CHAPTER 1: THE HISTORICAL CONTEXT OF MODERN EDUCATIONAL REFORM.....</b>	<b>21</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	21
THE SUBORDINATION OF LOCAL SCHOOL BOARDS TO STATE CONTROL .....	24
THE TRANSFORMATION OF SCHOOL BOARD ELECTIONS AND CULTURE .....	26
THE CHALLENGE OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS MOVEMENT .....	29
OBSTACLES TO COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION IN POLICY FORMATION.....	32
STATE CONTROL OF EDUCATIONAL POLICY – SETTING THE TABLE FOR CORPORATE CONTROL .....	39
 <b>CHAPTER 2: THE CONTEMPORARY SCENE CORPORATE DOMINATION OF SCHOOL POLICY .....</b>	<b>42</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	42
THE BUSINESS ROUNDTABLE AND SYSTEMIC REFORM .....	43
<i>No Turning Back: Turning Up the Heat</i> .....	49
<i>Students as Task-Completers, Not Problem-Solvers</i> .....	53
<i>School Boards as Middle Managers</i> .....	63
THE BUSINESS ROUNDTABLE NETWORK.....	68
<i>Institute for Educational Leadership</i> .....	69
<i>Public Agenda</i> .....	71
<i>Education Commission of the States</i> .....	72
<i>Annenberg Institute for School Reform</i> .....	73

<b>CHAPTER 3: BRT’S CO-OPTATION OF EDUCATORS AND PARENTS.....</b>	<b>77</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	77
CO-OPTATION OF TEACHER UNION LEADERSHIP.....	78
PARENTAL OPPOSITION AND ITS COOPTATION.....	81
THE COOPTATION OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCHERS AND TEACHERS.....	85
<b>CHAPTER 4: BUSINESS INFLUENCE ON THE SCHOOLS AT THE LOCAL LEVEL.....</b>	<b>96</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	96
HOUSTON, TEXAS.....	98
CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA.....	110
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA.....	117
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS.....	120
<b>CHAPTER 5: THE REVIVAL OF SEPARATE BUT EQUAL:“MAKING PLESSY WORK”.....</b>	<b>125</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	125
FROM <u>PLESSY</u> TO <u>BROWN</u> .....	127
JUSTIFYING RESEGREGATION.....	131
BUSING AND MAGNET SCHOOLS.....	133
SYSTEMIC REFORM AND RESEGREGATION.....	138
<i>Houston, Texas</i> .....	138
<i>Charlotte, North Carolina</i> .....	140
<i>Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania</i> .....	141
<i>Boston, Massachusetts</i> .....	143
<i>St. Louis, Missouri</i> .....	146
INTRODUCTION TO HIGH SCHOOL CHARTS.....	151
<i>Proportional Representation</i> .....	151
<i>Understanding the Charts</i> .....	152
<b>CHAPTER 6: CALIFORNIA.....</b>	<b>158</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	158
STATE CONTROL OF EDUCATIONAL POLICY.....	159
SB 813.....	161
TESTING AND TEXTBOOKS.....	164
NEW DIRECTION OF REFORM.....	168
THE SIX RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE 1988 CBR REPORT.....	172
<i>“Expand and Focus Schooling”</i> .....	172
<i>“Establish Accountability Based on Performance and Choice”</i> .....	173
<i>“Establish School Autonomy, and Empower Parents, Teachers, and Principals”</i> .....	176
<i>“Modernize Instruction”</i> .....	178
<i>“Strengthen the Teaching Profession”</i> .....	179
<i>“Capitalize on Diversity”</i> .....	180
DEVELOPING CONSENSUS.....	180
IMPLEMENTATION.....	187
<i>High-Stakes Testing Moves Center Stage</i> .....	187
<i>The Legislative Timetable</i> .....	189
OPPOSITION TO HIGH-STAKES STANDARDIZED TESTING.....	197
<b>CHAPTER 7: SAN FRANCISCO.....</b>	<b>207</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	207
THE DRIVE FOR INTEGRATION.....	211
THE CONSENT DECREE.....	214
FROM DESEGREGATION TO RECONSTITUTION.....	221
RECONSTITUTION AND RESEGREGATION.....	228
ALIGNMENT OF DISTRICT AND STATE POLICY.....	237
THE LEGACY OF ROJAS AND RECONSTITUTION.....	240

<b>CHAPTER 8: MISSION HIGH SCHOOL .....</b>	<b>247</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	247
COMMUNITY BASED REFORM .....	248
THE END OF COMMUNITY-BASED REFORM.....	260
NO TURNING BACK.....	264
TED ALFARO AND THE CORPORATE AGENDA .....	267
COMMUNITY POWER AS A THREAT TO THE POLITICAL AND CORPORATE MACHINES.....	280
<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>288</b>
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>293</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	
APPENDIX A: CREATING THE NEW MYTH.....	308
APPENDIX B: NEWSPAPER DATABASE WITH FILEMAKER PRO.....	311
APPENDIX C: WASHINGTON STATE’S 1998 SCHEDULE OF COMMUNICATION ACTIVITIES.....	312
APPENDIX D: SAMPLE ALTERNATIVE PERSPECTIVES ON THE DIRECTION EDUCATION REFORM SHOULD TAKE.....	315
APPENDIX E: IEL GRANTS AND CONTRIBUTORS.....	318
APPENDIX F: RECONSTITUTION OF MISSION HIGH SCHOOL.....	319
APPENDIX G: FROM THE REPORT OF THE MONITORING TEAM APPOINTED BY THE FEDERAL COURT TO EVALUATE THE DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE BY SFUSD WITH THE 1983 CONSENT DECREE.....	321
APPENDIX H: TEN POINT FRAMEWORK OF THE LOCAL EDUCATION FUND NETWORK AND CORPORATE FUNDERS .....	323
APPENDIX I: 1999 HIGH-STAKES TESTING STATUS REPORTS FROM STATE BUSINESS COALITIONS TO THE NATIONAL BRT.....	325